# MUNGBEAN NECROSIS DISEASE CAUSED BY A STRAIN OF GROUNDNUT BUD NECROSIS VIRUS

Ho Xuan Thien<sup>1</sup>, A.I. Bhat<sup>2</sup> and R.K. Jain<sup>1</sup>

## ABSTRACT

Tospovirus infection on mungbean (up to 70%) was noticed at Indian Agricultural Research Institute experimental farm, New Delhi, in different varietal trials. Symptoms under field conditions included necrosis of plant parts such as leaves, stems, petioles, growing points, buds and pods. Symptomatic mungbean plants showed positive reaction with Groundnut bud necrosis virus (GBNV) and Watermelon silver mottle virus (WSMV) antisera in direct antigen-coated enzymelinked immunosorbent assay. Mungbean Tospovirus was easily transmitted to cowpea cv. Pusa Komal, which could be used as a diagnostic host. The virus was also mechanically transmitted to different plant species belonging to families Fabaceae, Cucurbitaceae and Solanaceae. The nucleocapsid (N) gene of the virus was amplified, cloned and sequenced (GenBank Accession number AF515818). The sequenced region contained an ORF of 831 nucleotides that could potentially code for N protein of 276 amino acids. Comparative sequence analyses revealed that the N gene shared 97% and 99% sequence identity with GBNV at nucleotide and amino acid levels respectively, suggesting the Tospovirus isolate from mungbean to be a strain of GBNV.

Key words: *Tospovirus*, mungbean, nucleocapsid gene, *Groundnut bud necrosis virus* 

## INTRODUCTION

Low productivity of mungbean (0.425 ton per hectare) (Asthana and Chaturvedi 1999) in India could be attributed to biotic stresses including viruses. Among viruses, *Tospoviruses* have been recognized as emerging pathogens that can cause significant yield reduction in different crops (Bhat *et al.* 2001, 2002).

Natural infection of Tospovirus on mungbean (Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek) and other legumes such as urdbean (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper), cowpea (V. unguiculata (L.) Walp) and soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.) was recently recorded from Delhi (Bhat et al. 2001). Mungbean Tospovirus was serologically related to Groundnut bud necrosis (GBNV) and Watermelon silver mottle (WSMV) viruses in direct antigencoated enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. However, the exact taxonomic status of the virus remained unaddressed. The present study was thus undertaken to evaluate whether mungbean *Tospovirus* isolate is a strain of GBNV or a distinct virus species.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Virus isolate

Mungbean fields at Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI) experimental farm, New Delhi were visited at different stages of crop growth for *Tospovirus* infection and disease incidence was recorded in Initial Varietal (IVT), Advanced Varietal (AVT) and Released Varieties (RVT) trials. Symptomatic mungbean plants showing leaf chlorosis/necrosis, stem necrosis and bud necrosis were collected and were subjected to bio- and immuno-assays.

The virus was rub-inoculated on to cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* cv. Pusa Komal) at primary leaf stage in a glasshouse using sterilized and chilled pestle and mortar and 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) containing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Unit of Virology, Division of Plant Pathology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110 012, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Indian Institute of Spices Research, Calicut 673 012, India

0.1% β-mercaptoethanol. For immunoassay, standard direct antigen-coated enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (DAC-ELISA) was performed following the procedure of Clark and Bar-Joseph (1984). Polyclonal antisera directed against nucleocapsid (N) protein of GBNV and WSMV received as gifts from Drs. D.V.R. Reddy, ICRISAT, Hyderabad and S.D. Yeh, NCHU, Taichung, Taiwan, respectively, were used. Host range

The test plant species belonging to six families were used for host range studies (Table 1). Seedlings of each test plant species were raised in earthen pots (5 per pot) and were rub-inoculated at 2-3 leaf stage. The inoculated seedlings were observed for symptom development up to 4-6 weeks and also tested for the presence of virus in DAC-ELISA.

	No. plants	Observation						
Plant species	infected		Visual*	Serological				
	/inoculated	Local	Systemic	(A405 nm)**				
Fabaceae								
Arachis hypogaea (Groundnut)	3/9	1, 2,3	4, 7, 8, 10	0.65 (0.26)				
Cajanus cajan (Redgram)	6/20	1	-	0.51 (0.12)				
Cicer arietinum (Chickpea)	14/15	1, 2	7, 8, 10	0.37 (0.18)				
Glycine max (Soybean)	3/10	1	-	0.53 (0.14)				
Phaseolus vulgaris (French bean)	4/10	1, 2	4	0.57 (0.20)				
Pisum sativum (Pea)	5/17	1, 2	7, 8, 10	2.29 (0.29)				
Vigna radiata (Mungbean)	14/15	1, 2	5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10	1.09 (0.21)				
Vigna unguiculata (Cowpea)	19/19	1, 2, 3	4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10	0.53 (0.11)				
Cucurbitaceae								
Citrulus vulgaris (Tinda)	6/10	1, 2, 3	-	0.26 (0.13)				
Cucumis melo (Musk Melon)	0/10	-	-	0.20 (0.11)				
Cucumis sativus (Cucumber)	5/11	1, 2, 3	-	0.22 (0.11)				
Lagenaria siceraria (Bottle gourd)	2/10	1	-	0.38 (0.19)				
Solanaceae								
Nicotiana benthamiana	7/8	1, 2, 3	4, 5, 6, 7, 10	0.71 (0.03)				
Physalis floridana (Physalis)	7/7	1, 2, 3	4, 5, 6, 7, 10	0.41 (0.00)				
Solanum tuberosum (Potato)	0/7	-	-	0.18 (0.17)				
Malvaceae								
Abelmoschus esculentus (Bhindi)	0/9	-	-	0.11 (0.09)				
Gossypium hirsutum (Cotton)	3/10	1	-	0.37 (0.16)				
Compositae								
Helianthus annuus (Sunflower)	5/14	1, 2, 3	-	0.26 (0.13)				
Gramineae								
Zea mays (Maize)	0/15	-	-	0.20 (0.18)				

Table 1	. Host range of	mungbean <i>T</i>	<i>Cospovirus</i> isolate
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

\* 1= chlorotic lesion; 2= necrotic lesion; 3= ringspot; 4= leaf yellowing; 5= veinal necrosis; 6= leaf distortion;
7= bud necrosis; 8= stunting; 9= stem necrosis; 10= wilting; - = no infection

\*\* Average reading of two wells each after 1 h of substrate reaction. Values in parentheses are of healthy control

### **RNA** isolation

#### PCR amplification

Total RNA from the infected tissues (ca.10 mg) was extracted using RNeasy Kit (Qiagen Inc., Chatsworth, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions and was used as a template in the reverse transcription and polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR).

Reverse transcription and amplification (RT-PCR) were performed based on the procedure described by Pappu and his associates (1993). The template was first incubated at 76°C for 5 min and snap-cooled on wet ice for 2 min. A set of specific primers derived from the first

and last 21 bases of the coding region of the N gene of GBNV (Satyanarayana *et al.* 1996) and WSMV (Yeh and Chang 1995) were used to prime the amplification (Fig. 1). RT-PCR was performed in a single tube in an automated thermal cycler (Power Block 11, Ericomp Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) programmed for one cycle of 42°C for 45 min for cDNA synthesis and 40 cycles of amplification with 30s of denaturation at

94°C, 1 min of annealing at 56°C and 1 min of extension at 72°C followed by one cycle of final extension for 60 min at 72°C. Following PCR, amplicons were analyzed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis in Tris-acetate EDTA (TAE) containing ethidium bromide (Sambrook and Russell 2001). The gel was observed under ultraviolet trans-illuminator and photographed on the thermal paper.

-	GTC	TAA	CGT	TAA	GCA	GCT	<u>C</u> AC	CGA	GAA	GAA	AAT	CAA	GGA	ACT	TTT	GGC	TGG	TGG	CTCT	60
М	S	Ν	V	Κ	Q	L	Т	Ε	Κ	Κ	Ι	K	Ε	L	L	А	G	G	S	20
GC	AGA	TGT	TGA	AAT	TGA	AAC.	AGA	AGA	TTC	CAC	TCC	CGG	ATT	TAG	TTT	TAA	AGC	TTT	CTAT	120
А	D	V	Ε	I	Ε	Т	Ε	D	S	Т	Ρ	G	F	S	F	Κ	А	F	Y	40
GA	CAC	TAA	CAA	AAA	TAT	TGA.	AAT	AAC	TTT	TAC.	AAA	CTG	TTT	GAA	TAT	TTT	GAA	GTG	CAGG	180
D	Т	Ν	K	Ν	Ι	Ε	Ι	Т	F	Т	Ν	С	L	Ν	Ι	L	K	С	R	60
AA	GCA	GAT	CTT	TGC	TGC	TTG	CAA	AAG	TGG	TAA	GTA	TGT	TTT	TTG	TGG	TAA	AAC	TAT	TGTT	240
Κ	Q	Ι	F	А	А	С	Κ	S	G	Κ	Y	V	F	С	G	Κ	Т	Ι	V	80
GC	TAC	AAA	TAC	TGA	CGT	AGG.	ACC	AGA	TGA	CTG	GAC	CTT	CAA	AAG	GAC	AGA	AGC	TTT	CATC	300
А	Т	Ν	Т	D	V	G	Ρ	D	D	W	Т	F	K	R	Т	Ε	А	F	I	100
AG	AAC	CAA	AAT	GGC	TAG	TAT	GGT	TGA	AAA	GAG	CAA	GAA	TGA	TGC	TGC	TAA	GCA	GGA	GATG	360
R	Т	K	М	А	S	М	V	Е	K	S	K	Ν	D	A	A	K	Q	Ε	М	120
ΤA	CAA	TAA	AAT	AAT	GGA	ATT	GCC	ATT.	AGT	GGC.	AGC	СТА	TGG	ATT	'AAA	TGT	TCC	TGC	ATCT	420
Y	Ν	K	Ι	М	Ε	L	Ρ	L	V	А	А	Y	G	L	Ν	V	Ρ	А	S	140
ΤT	CGA	TAC	ATG	TGC	TTT	GAG	GAT	GAT	GCT	CTG	CAT	TGG	AGG	TCC	TCT	GCC	TCT	CTT	GTCT	480
F	D	Т	С	A	L	R	М	М	L	С	Ι	G	G	Ρ	L	Ρ	L	L	S	160
ΔC																				100
нυ	CAT	GAC	AGG	ТСТ	GGC	ACC.	AAT	CAT	ATT	ССС	ТСТ	GGC	TTA	TTA	TCA	AAA	TGT	GAA	GAAA	540
S	CAT M	GAC T	AGG G	TCT L	GGC A	ACC. P	AAT I	CAT. I	ATT F	CCC P	TCT L	GGC A	TTA Y	TTA Y	NTCA Q	AAA N	TGT V	GAA K	GAAA K	540 180
S GA	CAT M GAA	GAC T ATT	AGG G AGG	TCT L AGT	GGC A TAA	ACC. P AAA	AAT I CTT	CAT. I TTC	ATT F TAC	CCC P TTA	TCT L TGA	GGC A ACA	TTA Y .GGT	TTA Y TTG	LTCA Q CAA	AAA N AGT	TGT V AGC	GAA K TAA	GAAA K AGTA	540 180 600
S GA E	CAT M GAA K	GAC T ATT L	AGG G AGG G	TCT L AGT V	GGC A TAA K	ACC. P AAA N	AAT I CTT F	CAT I TTC S	ATT F TAC T	CCC P TTA Y	TCT L TGA E	ggc A ACA Q	TTA Y .GGT V	TTA Y TTG C	UTCA Q CAA K	AAA N AGT V	TGT V AGC A	GAA K TAA K	GAAA K AGTA V	540 180 600 200
S GA E CT	CAT M GAA K TTC	GAC T ATT L TGC	AGG G AGG G TTC	TCT L AGT V ACA	GGC A TAA K GAT	ACC. P AAA N TGA	AAT I CTT F ATT	CAT I TTC S CAA	ATT F TAC T AAA	CCC P TTA Y TGA	TCT L TGA E ACT	GGC A ACA Q AGA	TTA Y GGT V GGA	TTA Y TTG C AAT	Q Q CAA K GTT	AAA N AGT V TAA	TGT V AGC A ATC	GAA K TAA K AGC	GAAA K AGTA V TGTA	540 180 600 200 660
S GA E CT L	CAT M GAA K TTC S	GAC T ATT L TGC A	AGG G AGG G TTC S	TCT L AGT V ACA Q	GGC A TAA K GAT I	ACC. P AAA N TGA E	AAT I CTT F ATT F	CAT I ITC S CAA K	ATT F TAC T AAA N	CCC P TTA Y TGA E	TCT L TGA E ACT L	GGC A ACA Q AGA E	TTA Y GGT V GGA E	TTA Y TTG C AAT M	UTCA Q CAA K GTT F	AAA N AGT V TAA K	TGT V AGC A ATC S	GAA K TAA K AGC A	GAAA K AGTA V TGTA V	540 180 600 200 660 220
S GA E CT L AA	CAT M GAA K TTC S GCT	GAC T ATT L TGC A ATT	AGG G AGG TTC S GAG	TCT L AGT V ACA Q TGA	GGC A TAA K GAT I GAG	ACC. P AAA N TGA E TAA	AAT I CTT F ATT F CCC	CAT. I TTC S CAA. K TGG.	ATT F TAC T AAA N AAC	CCC P TTA Y TGA E AGC	TCT L TGA E ACT L CAG	GGC A ACA Q AGA E CTC	TTA Y GGT V GGA E TAT	TTA Y TTG C AAT M CTC	UTCA Q CAA K GTT F EACT	AAA N AGT V TAA K TAA	TGT V AGC A ATC S GAA	GAA K TAA K AGC A ATA	GAAA K AGTA V TGTA V TGAT	540 180 600 200 660 220 720
S GA E CT L AA K	CAT M GAA K TTC S GCT L	GAC T ATT L TGC A ATT L	AGG G AGG TTC S GAG S	TCT L AGT V ACA Q TGA E	GGC A TAA K GAT I GAG S	ACC. P AAA N TGA E TAA N	AAT I CTT F ATT F CCC P	CAT. I ITC S CAA. K IGG. G	ATT F TAC T AAA N AAC T	CCC P TTA Y TGA E AGC A	TCT L TGA E ACT L CAG S	GGC A ACA Q AGA E CTC S	TTA Y GGT V GGA E TAT I	TTA Y TTG C AAT M CTC S	CAA CAA K GTT F CACT L	AAA N AGT V TAA K TAA K	TGT V AGC A TC S GAA K	GAA K TAA K AGC A ATA Y	GAAA K AGTA V TGTA V TGAT D	540 180 600 200 660 220 720 240
S GA E CT L AA K GA	CAT M GAA K TTC S GCT L ACA	GAC T ATT L TGC A ATT L GGT	AGG G TTC. S GAG S CAA	TCT L AGT V ACA Q TGA E ATA	GGC. A TAA K GAT I GAG S TAT	ACC. P AAA N TGA. E TAA N GGA	AAT I F ATT F CCC P CAA	CAT. ITC S CAA. K IGG. G AGC	ATT F TAC T AAA N AAC T TTT	CCC P TTA Y TGA E AGC A CAG	TCT L TGA E ACT L CAG S TGC	GGC A ACA Q AGA E CTC S CAG	TTA Y GGT V GGA E TAT I TCT	TTA Y TTG C AAT M CTC S CTC	CAA CAA K GTT F CACT L CAAT	AAA N AGT V TAA K TAA K GGA	TGT V AGC A TGA	GAA K TAA K AGC A ATA Y TTA	GAAA K AGTA V TGTA V TGAT D TGGT	540 180 600 200 660 220 720 240 780
S GA E CT L AA K GA E	CAT M GAA K TTC S GCT L ACA Q	GAC T L TGC A ATT L GGT V	AGG G TTC S GAG S CAA K	TCT L AGT V ACA Q TGA E ATA Y	GGC. A TAA K GAT I GAG S TAT M	ACC. P AAA N TGA. E TAA N GGA D	AAT I CTT F ATT F CCC P CAA K	CAT. ITC S CAA K IGG G AGC A	ATT F TAC T AAA N AAC T TTT F	CCC P TTA Y TGA E AGC A CAG S	TCT L TGA E ACT L CAG S TGC A	GGC A ACA Q AGA E CTC S CAG S	TTA Y GGT V GGA E TAT I TCT L	TTA Y TTG AAT M CTC S CTC S	CAA K GTT F CACT L CAAT M	AAA N AGT V TAA K TAA K GGA D	TGT V AGC A TC S GAA K TGA D	GAA K TAA K AGC A ATA Y TTA Y	GAAA K AGTA V TGTA V TGAT D TGGT G	540 180 600 200 660 220 720 240 780 260
S GA E CT L AA K GA GA	CAT M GAA K TTC S GCT L ACA Q ACA	GAC T L TGC A TGC A TTC	AGG G TTC S GAG S CAA K TAA	TCT L AGT V ACA Q TGA E ATA Y GAA	GGC A TAA K GAT I GAG S TAT M GAA	ACC. P AAA N TGA. E TAA N GGA D GAG	AAT I CTT F ATT F CCC P CAA K TTC	CAT. ITC S CAA. K IGG. AGC A AAA	ATT F TAC T AAA N AAC T TTT F GGC	CCC P TTA Y TGA E AGC A CAG S T <u>GG</u>	TCT L TGA E ACT L CAG S TGC A <u>TCC</u>	GGC A Q AGA E CTC S CAG S <u>TTC</u>	TTA Y GGT V GGA E TAT I TCT L <u>GCT</u>	TTA Y TTG AAT M CTC S CTC S GGA	CAA K GTT F CACT L CAAT M ATT	AAA N AGT V TAA K TAA K GGA D GTA	TGT V AGC A TC GAA K TGA D	GAA K TAA K AGC A ATA Y TTA Y	GAAA K AGTA V TGTA V TGAT D TGGT G 831	540 180 200 660 220 720 240 780 260

**Figure 1.** Nucleotide (shown as DNA) and deduced amino acid sequences of the nucleocapsid (N) gene of mungbean *Tospovirus* isolate. Primer sequences used for the amplification are underlined

## **Cloning and sequencing**

The PCR products were ligated into PGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and competent *Escherichia coli* cells (strain DH  $5\alpha$ ) were transformed by following standard molecular biology procedures (Sambrook and Russell 2001). Selected recombinant clones with an insert of N gene (~800 bp) of mungbean *Tospovirus* isolate were sequenced at the automatic DNA sequencing facility at Department of Biochemistry, South Campus, University of Delhi, Delhi, India. Sequence data were initially compiled using SeqAid II<sup>TM</sup> version

3.60 (Rhoads and Roufa 1985). Multiple sequence alignments were generated using CLUSTAL W (Thompson *et al.* 1994). Sequence phylograms were constructed using TREEVIEW software (Bootstrap analysis with 1000 replicates) (Page 1996). N gene sequences of other known *Tospoviruses* 

(Table 2) were collected from GenBank (Benson *et al.* 1999). Both nucleotide and amino acid sequences of N gene of different *Tospovirus* species were compared and the corresponding phylogenetic trees were generated.

Table	2.	Source	of	nucleocapsid	(N)	gene	nucleotide	and	amino	acid	sequences	used	for
		compar	iso	n									

Virus	Designation used	GenBank accession number
TSWV serogroup		
Groundnut ringspot virus	GRSV	S54327
Tomato chlorotic spot virus	TCSV	AF282982
Tomato spotted wilt virus	TSWV	AF048716
WSMV serogroup		
Groundnut bud necrosis virus	GBNV	U27809
Groundnut bud necrosis virus	<b>GBNV-Mb</b>	AF515818 (This study)
Watermelon bud necrosis virus	WBNV	AF045067
Watermelon silver mottle virus	WSMV	U78734
Serologically unrelated		
Chrysanthemum stem necrosis virus	CNSV	AF067068
Groundnut chlorotic fan-spot virus	GCFV	AF080526
Groundnut yellow spot virus	GYSV	AF013994
Impatiens necrotic spot virus	INSV	D00914
Iris yellow spot virus	IYSV	AF001387
Melon yellow spot virus	MYSV	AB024332
Physalis severe mottle virus	PSMV	AF067151
Zucchini lethal chlorosis virus	ZLCV	AF067069

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Under field conditions, symptoms on mungbean included necrosis of all plant parts including leaves, stems, petioles, growing points, buds and pods. Early infected plants were severely stunted with reduced internodal length and many axillary shoots. Maximum *Tospovirus* infection was observed in RVT followed by AVT and IVT. In RVT, disease incidence was maximum in Pusa 2072 (71%), followed by Pusa Bold (63%), Pusa 105 (46%), and Pusa Vishal (20%). Incidence of *Tospovirus* infection in IVT and AVT ranged from 14-38% and 19-44%, respectively.

The *Tospovirus* from symptomatic mungbean plants was mechanically transmitted on to cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* cv. Pusa Komal), a diagnostic assay host for *Tospovirus* (Bhat *et al.* 2001). Both localized as well as systemic infections on cowpea were observed. In localized infection, inoculated leaves showed chlorotic lesions three days after inoculation, which turned necrotic two days later. This was followed by veinal necrosis of the inoculated leaves, which finally became chlorotic or pale yellow in color before senescence. Newly emerging leaves showed systemic infection symptoms which consisted mild mosaic, concentric chlorotic ringspots and necrotic spots. Necrosis affected plants reacted with the polyclonal antisera directed against nucleocapsid protein of GBNV ( $A_{405} =$ 0.75) and WSMV ( $A_{405} = 0.40$ ), suggesting that the mungbean *Tospovirus* belongs to WSMV serogroup (Moyer 1999).

The virus was easily sap-transmissible to the members of *Fabaceae* and *Solanaceae* and both localized and systemic infection was observed. Symptoms exhibited by different hosts included chlorotic/necrotic lesions and ringspots, followed by leaf yellowing, veinal necrosis, leaf deformation. Other symptoms were stunting, bud necrosis, stem necrosis and wilting. Only localized infection (chlorosis, necrosis, ringspot) was observed in plant species belonging to *Cucurbitaceae*,

*Malvaceae* and *Compositae* (Table 1). ELISA reactions were consistent with visual observation. Intensity of ELISA reactions varied with plant species. Members of *Fabaceae* and *Solanaceae* showed higher absorbance values (0.37-2.29), whereas those of *Cucurbitaceae*, *Compositae* and *Malvaceae* showed lower absorbance values (0.22-0.38). These host species could serve as potential reservoirs for mungbean *Tospovirus*. Host range studies suggested that the mungbean *Tospovirus* was closely related to GBNV.

The nucleotide and the translated amino acid sequences of the N gene of the mungbean *Tospovirus* revealed that the sequenced region contained a single open reading frame (ORF) of 831 nucleotides that could potentially code for a protein of 276 amino acids (Figure 1). The nucleotide sequence data were submitted to the GenBank under accession number AF515818.

The N gene sequence of mungbean Tospovirus isolate was compared with corresponding genes from other recognized Tospovirus species at nucleotide and amino acid levels. Cluster dendrograms revealed that mungbean Tospovirus isolate was most closely related to GBNV, forming one cluster (Figure 2). Comparative sequence analyses also revealed that mungbean Tospovirus isolate shared maximum sequence identity with GBNV at nucleotide (97%) as well as amino acid (99%) levels (Table 3). In contrast, 79-81% nucleotide sequence identity was observed with N genes of other members of WSMV serogroup such as WBNV and WSMV. Nucleotide identities with eleven



other Tospovirus species were in the range of 41-64%. Similarly, comparison of amino acid sequences of N genes revealed that the N gene of mungbean Tospovirus shared 82-84% sequence identity with other members of WSMV serogroup, in contrast to 16-58% identity with other Tospoviruses (Table 3). Isolates in the Tospovirus genus with greater than 90% N gene sequence identity are classified as strains of the same virus (Moyer 1999). Since the biological characteristics and N gene sequences of mungbean Tospovirus were similar to GBNV, it is proposed that the mungbean Tospovirus should be regarded as a strain of GBNV belonging to WSMV serogroup, henceforth designated as GBNV-Mb. This is the first report of the presence of GBNV on mungbean under natural condition.

Considering that GBNV has long been endemic to India on groundnut and has a wide host range (Ghanekar *et al.* 1979), it is possible that GBNV has spread from groundnut to mungbean. Recently, soybean was also identified as natural host of GBNV (Bhat *et al.* 2002) and GBNV-Sb shared 97% amino acids sequence identity with GBNV-Mb. Thus, natural infection of GBNV on other crops should also be monitored.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors thank Dr. D.V. Singh, Head, Division of Plant Pathology, and Prof. Y.S. Ahlawat, In-Charge, Unit of Virology, IARI, New Delhi for providing facilities for the research work. We also thank Dr. H.R. Pappu, Washington State University, WA, USA for providing primers.

Figure 2. Cluster dendrogram illustrating phylogenetic relationships based on the multiple alignments of the nucleocapsid (N) protein amino acid sequences of 14 known Tospovirus species and mungbean Tospovirus isolate (GBNV-Mb). Sequences for comparisons were obtained from GenBank and designation given to each of the isolates and their GenBank accession numbers are given in Table 2

**Table 3.** Percent nucleotide sequence (above the diagonal line) and amino acid sequence(below the diagonal line) identity of nucleocapsid (N) gene between mungbean*Tospovirus* (GBNV-Mb) and other *Tospovirus* isolates

TSWV	100	77	77	44	44	44	44	43	46	43	73	75	42	57	43
GRSV	77	100	82	45	446	47	47	46	48	46	74	72	45	58	45
TCSV	79	84	100	44	45	45	48	45	46	45	74	73	44	58	42
WSMV	27	27	27	100	79	80	80	64	53	63	46	46	43	45	41
WBNV	25	26	25	84	100	81	81	63	52	63	46	46	42	44	44
GBNV	27	26	27	84	82	100	97	64	53	64	45	45	41	44	41
GBNV-Mb	26	25	26	84	82	99	100	64	53	64	45	45	41	43	42
PSMV	26	27	24	56	57	58	58	100	58	97	47	46	43	44	41
IYSV	31	28	29	38	38	42	41	44	100	58	47	47	42	45	42
MYSV	26	27	24	56	57	58	58	99	44	100	46	46	43	43	41
ZLCV	74	75	74	25	25	26	25	24	28	24	100	77	40	57	44
CSNV	75	73	74	25	24	25	25	26	29	26	79	100	44	58	45
GCFV	15	16	14	14	17	18	17	16	15	16	14	16	100	45	67
INSV	53	52	52	26	26	26	26	24	25	24	51	53	15	100	44
GYSV	16	18	12	18	16	16	16	17	17	17	13	13	65	17	100

TSWV GRSV TCSV WSMV WBNV GBNV GBNV-Mb PSMV IYSV MYSV ZLCV CSNV GCFV INSV GYSV

## REFERENCES

- Asthana AN and SK Chaturvedi. 1999. A little impetus needed. *Hindu Sur. Indian Agric.* 61 p.
- Benson DA, MS Boguski, DJ Lipman and J Ostell. 1999. GenBank. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 24: 1-5.
- Bhat AI, RK Jain, A Varma and SK Lal. 2002. Nucleocapsid protein gene sequence studies suggest that soybean bud blight is caused by a strain of *Groundnut bud necrosis virus. Curr. Sci.* 82: 1389-1392.
- Bhat AI, RK Jain, A Varma, N Chandra and SK Lal. 2001. *Tospovirus*(es) infecting grain legumes in Delhi – their identification by serology and nucleic acid hybridization. *Indian Phytopathol.* **54** (1): 112-116.
- Clark MF and Bar-Joseph. 1984. Enzyme immunosorbent assays in plant virology. In: *Methods In Virology*, Vol VII (Eds. K Maramorsch and H Koprowski). pp. 51-85. Academic Press, New York.
- Ghanekar AM, DVR Reddy, N Lizuka, PW Amin RW and Gibbons. 1979. Bud

necrosis of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) in India caused by *Tomato spotted wilt virus*. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **93**: 173-179.

- Moyer JW. 1999. Tospoviruses (*Bunyaviridae*). In: *Encyclopedia of Virology* (Eds. A Granoff and RG Webster). pp. 1803-1807. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Page RDM. 1996. Treeview: An application to display phylogenetic trees on personal computers. *Computer Applications in Biosciences*. **12**: 357-358.
- Pappu SS, R Brand, HR Pappu, E Rybicki, KH Gough, KJ Frenkel and CL Niblett. 1993. A polymerase chain reaction method adapted for selective cloning of 3' non translated regions of potyviruses: application to dasheen mosaic virus. J. Virol. Methods 41: 9-20.
- Rhoads DD and DS Roufa. 1985. Emetine resistance of Chinese hamster cells: structure of wild type and mutant ribosomal protein S14 messenger RNA species. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **5:** 1655-1659.

- Sambrook J and DW Russell. 2001. *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual.* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York.
- Thompson JD, DG Higgins and TJ Gibson. 1994. CLUSTAL W: Improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap

penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **22**: 4673-4680.

Yeh SD and TF Chang. 1995. Nucleotide sequence of the N gene of *Watermelon silver mottle virus*, a proposed new member of the genus *Tospovirus*. *Phytopathology* **85**: 58-64.

## SUMMARY IN VIETNAMESE

### Groundnut bud necrosis tospovirus gây bệnh hoại tử trên đậu xanh

Các ruộng thí nghiệm giống đậu xanh tại Viện Nghiên Cứu Nông Nghiệp Ấn Độ, New Delhi, bi nhiễm bênh do *Tospovirus* gây ra với tỉ lê bênh lên đến 70%. Các triệu chứng bệnh ngoài đồng thường là hoại tử của các bộ phân như lá, thân, cuống lá, các điểm sinh trưởng, chồi và trái. Các mẫu bệnh mang triệu chứng đã cho phản ứng dương tính với các kháng huyết thanh của Groundnut bud necrosis virus (GBNV) và Watermelon silver mottle virus (WSMV) trong phån ứng ELISA trực tiếp (DAC ELISA). Chủng Tospovirus đậu xanh được truyền dể dàng sang đậu bò giống Pusa Komal và giống này có thể được sử dụng làm thực vật chẩn đoán virus này. Virus cũng được truyền sang các loài thực vật khác thuộc các họ Fabaceae, *Cucurbitaceae* và *Solanaceae*. Gene cấu tao vỏ protein của virus (N) đã được nhân bản và đọc mã (mã số truy cập GenBank AF515818). Đoạn gene được giải chứa một ORF dài 831 nucleotide và có thể mã hoá cho một protein vỏ virus dài 276 amino acid. Các phân tích so sánh chuổi cho thấy đoạn gene cấu tạo vỏ virus này tương đồng với gene N của GBNV 97% ở mức độ nucleotide và 99% ở mức độ amino acid. Điều này cho thấy chủng Tospovirus đâu xanh của New Delhi là một nòi của GBNV.

## 116