Impact of Male Migration on Female Headship's Time Allocation and Inputs in Rice Farming in rainfed low land rice area, a case of Hiep Thanh village, Bac Lieu Town, Bac Lieu province

Truong Thi Ngoc Chi, Tran Thi Ngoc Mai, Hoang Xuan Dau, Thai Thi Hanh, Phan Thi Ben, Nhan Hong Hoa, Thelma R. Paris, and Joyce Luis

ABSTRACT

A purposive survey of 50 households to collect information on husband's and wife's time allocation and inputs for crop production in rainfed rice area in Hiep Thanh village (Bac Lieu town) indicates female managed farm significantly spent more hours for domestic work than males during slack period. For long term migration of male head, females significantly spent more hours for livestock than males. During peak period (harvesting time), the females in the household with long term migration of male head spent more hours/day for farm (4.47 hours) than males (2.16 hours). Under female managed farm category, females contributed their labors higher than the females under male managed farm category. The female managed farm also replaced the absent male family labor by hiring labors. The female managed farm had smaller rice farm than male managed farm. This may be the reason for more migration of male members in these households. The inputs for rice production in female managed farm was higher than those of male managed farm due to their less access to the technical information and lower education than males. Consequently, the return from rice farm of female managed farm was lower than those of male managed farm. Only land size significantly affected household income. Migration, remittances and who managed farm did not affected household income due to low income from migrants' work.

INTRODUCTION

Under the economic transition of Viet Nam, the industrialization for import substitution nowadays has withdrawn rural labor to engage in non-farm activities. Truong Si Anh (1995) reported that more than 46% males migrated to Ho chi Minh city to look for long- term job with the hope of higher income. Nguyen Hoang Bao and et al. (1999) revealed that there were three main reasons for more migration after "Doi Moi" policy in 1986 such as changing cultivation system, removal of subsidies system and no limitation for private business and transportation. Due to the big difference in income and living level among zones within country, many families in the poor zones received remittances from relatives and family members and these were spent for food, hospital, education and for business (Le Minh Tam and Nguyen Duc Vinh, 1999). According to Truong Si Anh (1995), a survey of migrants in Ho Chi Minh city, 20% males and 30% females migrated to city after 1989 had sent remittances for their

families. With this situation, the principle male heads migrate out of the rural area to work in other places raising the issue of how females who left behind allocate their time and input for crop production with their existing technical knowledge. This paper focuses on the analysis of differences in time allocation, inputs, and access to information and knowledge between female manage farm or female head and male managed farm or male head.

METHODS OF DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

Hiep Thanh village of Bac Lieu province was representative for rainfed low land rice. The people in the village comprises of Vietnamese (60%), Chinese (25%) and Cambodian (15%) in 7 hamlets. Fifty households were purposively selected from the 94 households contacted from the rapid rural appraisals to collect information on husband's and wife's time allocation and inputs for rice production. Male and female

farmers were directly interviewed by using the structure questionnaire. The respondents were either male or female heads.

Descriptive statistic was used to summarize the data in the form of frequency, mean and percentage. Multiple regression was employed to identify the factors affecting household income. T-test was used to know the differences in mean hours allocated by activities between male and female managed farm.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Time allocation of male and female managed farms.

The activities were classified for time spent as farm, livestock, non-farm. reproductive, and domestic. The farm activity included hand weeding, planting, seed sowing, harvesting, irrigation, fertilizer and pesticide application, field visiting, rat control, drying rice selling farm products. Livestock comprised of catching and selecting shrimp, feeding pig, chicken, duck, fish, and weaving net for fishing. Non-farm included small trading, riding, factory worker and handicraft. Reproductive work included child care, sleep, taking rest, eat meals, watch television and listening to radio, taking a bath, drinking coffee, teaching children and visiting relatives and parents. Domestic work included cooking, washing dishes, cleaning the house and surrounding, collecting water, cutting fuel wood, and going to market

The households with female heads who manage farm are those with husband's outmigration. The household with male head or male manage farm are those without husband's migration. During slack period of rice production (surveyed in August when farmers finished seed sowing and weeding in rice field but still were busy with other crops as vegetables), for the households with long term migration of husband, female managed farm spent more time for reproductive work (38%) followed by for farm (25%). Similar trend was found for off- farm and non-farm worker (short term migration of male head) and females spent 42% of time for reproductive work and 28% for farm. During peak period (harvesting time), female managed farm under long-term migration of husband spent most of time for reproductive work (48%) followed by for farm (31%).

T-test for difference of mean hours/day between male and female managed farm shows that females significantly spent more hours for domestic work than males during slack period (table 1). For long term migration of male head, females significantly spent more hours for livestock than males.

During harvesting time (peak period), the females of the household with long term migration spent more hours/day for farm (4.47 hours) than males (2.16 hours) thought it was not significantly different. This trend was similar for the household with off-farm and non-farm workers.

Table 1: T-Test for difference of mean hours/day spent by male and female manage farm

	Household without migrant			Off-farm and non-farm worker (a)			Long term migrant		
Activity	Male	Female	T-Value	Male	Female	T-Value	Male	Female	T-Value
Slack period									
Farm	5.38	4.00	0.34	4.93	4.33	0.40	5.00	4.10	0.99
Livestock	0.50	2.50	-0.79	1.14	0.83	0.32	0.00	1.13	-2.98**
Non-farm	0.00	2.00	-2.00	0.57	1.17	-0.82	2.25	1.39	0.91
Reproductive	7.63	4.50	-3.38	7.00	6.33	0.69	7.25	6.00	1.68
Domestic	0.25	2.00	-10.69**	0.36	1.50	-2.17	0.00	2.04	-7.78**
All activities	14.00	14.00	0.00	14.14	14.00	0.28	14.50	14.57	-0.20
Peak period									
Farm	6.88	5.10	0.74	6.25	6.26	-0.01	2.16	4.47	-2.09
Livestock	0.95	1.36	-0.43	1.81	0.74	1.54	0.97	0.56	0.41
Non-farm	0.11	0.12	-0.08	0.29	0.20	0.44	3.00	0.17	2.00
Reproductive	6.27	4.50	1.49	5.75	4.71	1.28	7.29	6.27	0.99
Domestic	0.21	0.07	-1.71	0.31	2.25	-2.58	1.70	2.86	-1.39
All activities	14.41	14.11	2.85*	14.41	14.15	2.16 *	15.11	14.34	4.39*

^{*} Significant at 0.05, (a) short-term migration

Inputs for crop production

Labor input (person days /hectare) for rice production was mainly family labors. The

male members under male managed farm contributed more labor than under female managed farm. Under male managed farm, 116 Truong Thi Ngoc Chi et al.

and traditionally females contributed more labor than male usually in gap filling (17.93 woman days/ha), and hand weeding (7.7 woman days/ha). On the other hand, under female managed farm, females contributed more labor than males in seed broadcasting, basal fertilizer application, seed drying, sacking and gleaning. Female managed farm used hired labors more than male managed farm to substitute for the absence of family male labor.

Table 2 describes the inputs for crop production by headship. Male managed farm had bigger farm for rice (0.97ha/household)

and vegetable production (0.19 ha/household) than female managed farm (0.63 ha and 0.07 ha for rice and vegetables, respectively). Female managed farm had higher expenditure for fertilizer, pesticide and herbicide for rice production than male manage farm. However the gross income from rice per hectare of female managed farm was lower than those of male managed farm. Female managed farm had higher income from vegetable production than male managed farm. Female managed farm did not use herbicide for the plot planted vegetables.

Table 2: Inputs for crop production by gender

Item	Ma	le managed f	arm	Female managed farm		
	Rice	Vegetable	Fruit	Rice	Vegetable	Fruit
Land size (ha)	0.97	0.19	0.01	0.63	0.07	0.06
Fertilizer cost (thousand dong/ha)	910.645	1277.715	1277.715	1277.878	1431.763	1431.763
Pesticide cost (thousand dong/ha)	683.251	728.567	-	304.039	787.452	407.307
Herbicide cost (thousand dong/ha)	72.228	230.103	-	68.785	-	175.192
Labor cost (thousand dong/ha)	1364.626	-	-	1302.536	-	-
Rent or contract of machine (thousand dong/ha)	628.216	-	-	667.072	-	-
Gross income (thousand dong/ha)	3913.374	-	-	3180.388	-	-

Income source

The main source of income was from rice followed by vegetables for both male (30%) and female heads (27%). The third income source for female head was from trading (16%) and from male off- farm (12%) for male head. The other income were from non-farm work, driving, small husbandry, handicraft and service (table 3).

Income distribution by migration indicates that the households with or without migration got income from rice. The other major income

for no migration household was vegetables followed by male off-farm work and fish/shrimp catching or raising. To maintain the livelihood of the family they had diverse income sources. Beside rice income, for the short term migration of the husband, driving, female off-farm work, non-farm work and vegetables were the other main sources of income. For the long term migration of husband, male off-farm and vegetables were the other main sources of income.

Table 3: Proportion income (%) distributed by income source and headship

Source of income	Male	e head		Female head			Total	
	Male	Female	Female	De Facto	Widow	Male	Male	Female
	MF	MF	MF			MF	head	head
Rice	28	32	11	25	52	37	30	27
Vegetable	23	12	9	12	26	9	17	11
Fruit	1	9	0	8	0	0	5	2
Other crops	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0
Husbandry	5	3	3	14	8	3	4	6
Shrimp/Fish raising or catching	9	8	9	12	0	1	9	6
Male off - farm	10	15	8	14	12	0	12	7
Female off - farm	10	3	2	2	2	2	6	2
Trading	8	1	11	10	0	33	5	16
Driving	5	2	0	3	0	0	3	1
Factory workers	9	10	28	0	0	2	9	10
House construction workers	1	2	6	0	0	0	1	2
Salary/Service	2	2	3	0	0	0	2	1
Handicraft	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	4
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Source of information for farming:

Male farmers who managed farm got information for farming from many sources, mainly from male neighbors (86%), mass media (76%), technician and sale agents (57%), and farmers' club (29%). More than half female managed farm got the information on farming from male neighbor, mass media and from husband. Less females (40%) than males (57%) contacted the technician, 32% got information from farmers' club and sale agent, and 12% from Women's Association. Factors affecting household income:

Multiple regression with 2 models in table 4 indicates that only land size was positively and significantly affected household income. Most of households in rainfed area (Hiep Thanh village) are poor and they only earned small amount from off farm and non-farm work outside the village and city, the remittances did not contribute significantly to the family income. The main factor had increased income was land size. This indicates that the household with larger land farm had higher income than those had small land farm.

Information of remittances for the households with remittances

Most of male heads with long term migration (100%) and short term working as

off- farm and non- farm worker (67%) sent remittances to their families. Other members of the households as sons and daughters also sent money home. For the household with migration, most of remittances were spent for food, some for farm and children education. The amount of remittances for farm usually was spent for fertilizer and pesticide.

The amount of remittances received per year were small, the household with long term migration of male head only received 1649 thousand dong/year. Off-farm and non-farm male head worker sent 1000 thousand dong/year. The household with long term migration of other members received 4635 thousand dong/ year. These amounts are small for the need of the households. Farmers usually said remittances were not enough for family food during off season.

The migrants earned money in the household with long term migration of head were off-farm workers (80%), trading (40%) and fishing (20). The short term head migrants earned from non-farm workers (67%), 33% from driving and off-farm worker.

Most of wives of the households received and spent the remittances.

Table 4: Regression Analysis between Household income (Million dong/year) and Socio-Economic Factors in rainfed area

Variable		Model 1		Model 2			
	Estimate	Standard error	T-Value	Estimate	Standard error	T-Value	
Intercept	-0.479	6.258	-0.08	0.299	6.347	0.05	
Land size (ha)	1.1084**	1.585	6.99	10.995**	1.584	6.94	
Household size	1.157	1.115	1.002	1.077	1.156	0.93	
Number of children	-0.462	1.146	-0.403	-0.416	1.14	0.37	
Age of head	-0.081	0.105	-0.77	-0.079	0.104	-0.76	
Education of head	-0.135	0.427	-0.32	-0.155	0.427	-0.36	
Years in farming of head	-0.077	0.092	-0.84	-0.073	0.092	-0.79	
Working place of head	2.164	2.123	1.02	2.047	2.121	0.97	
Remittances	2.441	3.241	0.753	2.553	3.147	0.81	
Types of family	1.957	3.453	0.567	1.973	3.432	0.58	
Migration	-1.658	2.583	-0.642	-1.352	2.577	-0.53	
Gender 1	-0.808	1.394	-0.58				
Gender 2				-2.176	2.449	-0.89	
R ²	0.471			0.473			

Significant at 0.01

Model 1 with Gender 1:

0= Male headed household, male manage farm

1= Female headed (De Facto & De Jure) 2= Male headed, female manage farm

Model 2 with Gender 2: 0= Other households

1= Female headed (De Facto & De Jure), male headed, female manage farm

Migration (dummy variable):

Type of family (dummy variable):

Remittances (dummy variable):

Working place of head (dummy variable):

0 = No migration,

1 = Migration

1 = Extended

1 = With remittances

0 = Worthin village,

1 = outside the village

118 Truong Thi Ngoc Chi et al

CONCLUSIONS

Most of households in the rainfed area are poor, not only male head but also other members as wife, sons and daughters migrated to other village and city to seek for off-farm and non-farm work. The sex of migrants is dominantly male.

Female managed farm significantly spent more hours for domestic work than males during slack period. For long term migration of male head, females significantly spent more hours for livestock than males. During harvesting time (peak period), the females of the household with long term migration spent more hours/day for farm (4.47 hours) than males (2.16 hours). This trend was similar for the females with short term migration of male head.

Under female managed farm category, females contributed their labors higher than the females under male managed category. The female managed farm also replaced the absent male family labor by hiring labors. Therefore the hired labors in female managed farm were contributed more labor days than in male managed farm. The male managed farm had larger farm than female managed farm. This is one of the reason for the migration in the household with female managed farm. The inputs for rice production in female

managed farm was higher than those of male managed farm. The reason for higher inputs from female managed farm due to their less access to the technical information, low education leading to easily persuaded by the sale agents who sell fertilizer and pesticide. Farmers felt that they obtained relatively large amount of money after selling rice. However, the high input led to very low net return. The return from female managed farm was lower than those of male managed farm. Only land size significantly affected household income. Migration, remittances and who managed farm did not clearly affected due to low income from migrants' work. The migrants lived out side their home, according to them, the wage was sufficient for food and room rent and the rest of money for sending home was small. Moreover, the wage mostly was paid on day bases, the work at city or other village were not regularly operated and therefore jobless for some time. Most of wives received and spent the remittances. Migration could not reduced the poverty in rainfed area. Both male and female farmers in studied site still lack of knowledge and skill in farming. Therefore, other intervention should implement to improve their rice production efficiency in this poor area.

REFERENCES

Le Minh Tam and Nguyen Duc Vinh, 1999. Sending Remittances to The Household and Income Distribution. In Viet Nam Household Through Quantity Analysis by Dominique Haughton, Jonathan Haughton Sarah Bales, Truong Thi Kim Chuyen, Nguyen Nguyet Nga and Hoang Van Kink. Published by National Policy Publishing House, Ha Noi, 1999. Page 161-175.

Truong Si Anh, 1995. Report on "A Survey of Migration to Ho Chi Minh city". Economic Institute in Ho Chi Minh city, January.

Nguyen Hoang Bao, Tran Thi Ben, Bui Thi Hong, Ngo Thi Loan and Vo Thi Kim Sa, 1999. Migration within country. In Viet Nam Household Through Quantity Analysis by Dominique Haughton, Jonathan Haughton Sarah Bales, Truong Thi Kim Chuyen, Nguyen Nguyet Nga and Hoang Van Kink. Published by National Policy Publishing House, Ha Noi, 1999. Page 177-194.

SUMMARY IN VIETNAMESE

Điều tra có mục đích từ 50 hô để thu thập thông tin về phân phối thời gian cho công việc và đầu tư cho cây trồng ở vùng lúa nước trời của xã Hiệp Thành (thi xã Bạc liêu) cho thấy rằng lúc nông nhàn phụ nữ quản lý sản xuất giành nhiều thời gian cho công việc nội trợ. Số giờ trung bình cho công việc đồng áng của nữ quản lý sản xuất (4.47 giờ) do chồng đi làm xa nhà dài hạn vào lúc bận rộn (thu hoạch) cao hơn nam quản lý sản xuất (2.16 giờ). Phụ nữ ở hộ nữ quản lý sản xuất đóng góp nhiều công lao động cho đồng áng hơn phụ nữ ở hộ nam quản lý sản xuất. Nữ quản lý sản xuất cũng thay thế lao đông nam gia đình (do vắng nhà) bằng công lao động thuê. Nữ quản lý sản xuất đầu tư phân và thuốc cho lúa cao hơn nam quản lý sản xuất nên lợi nhuận từ lúa của họ ít hơn nam quản lý sản xuất. Chỉ có diện tích đất canh tác ảnh hưởng đến thu nhập nông hô. Hô có hay không có người đi làm xa, hộ có hay không có nhận tiền gửi và giới tính của người quản lý sản xuất không ảnh hưởng đến thu nhập nông hộ. Điều này có thể do cả hai nam và nữ quản lý sản xuất tại xã này có trình độ sản xuất đều thấp. Ngòai ra, trình độ văn hóa, khả năng tìm việc và làm việc, và tính chất công việc của người đi làm xa nhà chưa có thu nhập thỏa đáng cho nhu cầu sản xuất và tiêu dùng của gia đình.